

CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

Evaluation of Agriculturally Dominated Water Bodies in Relation to Municipal and Domestic Supply (MUN) Beneficial Use

Sacramento Valley Archetypes

Final Report

December 2014*



*California Department of Public Health (DPH) was changed to the State Water Resources Control Board's Division of Drinking Water (DDW) to reflect current programs in the main report and Appendix F on March 16, 2015.





State of California

Edmund G. Brown, Jr., Governor

California Environmental Protection Agency Matthew Rodriguez, Secretary

REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

Karl Longley, Chair Jennifer Moffitt, Vice Chair Jon Costantino, Member Sandra Meraz, Member Robert Schneider, Member Carmen L. Ramirez, Member

Pamela C. Creedon, Executive Officer

11020 Sun Center Drive #200 Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Phone: (916) 464-3291 Email: info5@waterboards.ca.gov

Web site: http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/centralvalley/

DISCLAIMER

This publication is a technical report by staff of the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region. No policy or regulation is either expressed or intended.

REPORT PREPARED BY:

Cindy Au Yeung, Environmental Scientist Anne Littlejohn, Environmental Scientist Calvin Yang, Environmental Scientist*

UNDER THE DIRECTION OF:

JEANNE CHILCOTT, Chief, Ag Regulatory Planning Unit

REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD CENTRAL VALLEY REGION

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

This report was made possible through hours of field and lab work, quality assurance, data compilation and data analysis, primarily performed by staff of the AG Regulatory Planning Unit and Scientific Aids of the California State Surface Water Ambient Monitoring Program (SWAMP). Additional resources were provided through the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives Long-Term Sustainability (CV-SALTS) initiative, Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program (ILRP) coalitions, local Publicly Operated Treatment Works (POTWs), and other local, state, and federal stakeholders including the water agencies that are currently managing and maintaining the water bodies in question.

*Formerly Environmental Scientist with Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board and currently an Environmental Scientist with State Water Resources Control Board.

TABL	LE OF CONTENTS	PAGE
1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0	GLOSSARY/KEY TERMS	4
3.0	INTRODUCTION	
4.0	STUDY AREA	
	4.1 West Side of the Sacramento River Basin – Colusa Basin Watershed	
	4.1.1 Colusa Subarea	
	4.1.2 Willows Subarea	12
	4.2 East Side of the Sacramento River Basin – Lower Butte Creek Watershed and Sutter Bypass	13
	4.2.1 Live Oak Subarea	14
	4.2.2 Biggs Subarea	16
5.0	WATER QUALITY MONITORING PROGRAM	19
	5.1 Program Objectives	19
	5.2 Program Design	19
	5.3 Sampling Sites	25
	5.3.1 Colusa Subarea Sites	25
	5.3.2 Willows Subarea Sites	25
	5.3.3 Biggs Subarea Sites	26
	5.3.4 Live Oak Subarea Sites	26
	5.4 Sampling Procedures	27
6.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL	28
7.0	PRECIPITATION AND FLOW: APRIL 2012—SEPTEMBER 2013	30
8.0	RESULTS	
9.0	MUNICIPAL AND DOMESTIC SUPPLY (MUN) BENEFICIAL USE AND APPLICAB WATER QUALITY GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	45
10.0	DISCUSSION	47
	10.1 Summary of Exceedances	47
	10.1.1 Colusa Study Area	47
	10.1.2 Willows Study Area	48
	10.1.3 Live Oak Study Area	49
	10.1.4 Biggs Study Area	50
	10.1.5 Exceedance General Comparison	52
	10.2 Spatial and Temporal Trends	57
	10.2.1 West Side Sacramento River Basin—Colusa and Willows Study Area	57

	10.2.2 East Side Sacramento River Basin—Live Oak and Biggs Study Area	.62
11.0	SUMMARY/CONCLUSION	.95
12.0	REFERENCES	.97
LIST	OF TABLES	
	e 1 Sampling Site Locations Monitored During Sacramento River Basin MUN Study,	00
•	2012—September 2013e 2 List of Constituents Within Each Scan	
	e 3 Volatile Organic Compounds and Reporting Limits	
	e 4 Summary Results: Colusa Study Area, April 2012—September 2013	
	e 5 Summary Results: Willows Study Area, April 2012—September 2013	
	e 6 Summary Results: Live Oak Study Area, April 2012—September 2013	
	e 7 Summary Results: Biggs Study Area, April 2012—September 2013	
	e 8 Key Constituents and Evaluation Criteria	
	e 9 Summary of Key Constituent Exceedances: Colusa Study Area, April 2012—	
	ember 2013	53
•	e 10 Summary of Key Constituent Exceedances: Willows, April 2012—September 2013.	
Table	e 11 Summary of Key Constituent Exceedances: Live Oak, April 2012—	
Septe	ember 2013	.55
Table	e 12 Summary of Key Constituent Exceedances: Biggs, April 2012—September 2013	.56
LIST	OF FIGURES	
Figur	e 1 Sacramento Case Study Area and Monitoring Stations	8
Figur	e 2 Colusa Study Area	.11
Figur	e 3 Willows Study Area	13
Figur	e 4 Live Oak Study Area	.16
•	e 5 Biggs Study Area	
	e 6 Daily Flow vs. Daily Precipitation, East Side of Sacramento River Basin	
	2012—September 2013)e 7 Flow vs. River Stage, East Side of Sacramento River Basin (April 2012—	.32
_	ember 2013)	.33
	e 8 Daily Flow vs. Daily Precipitation, West Side of Sacramento River Basin (April 2012-	
-	ember 2013)	
	e 9 Summary Specific Conductance: West Sacramento River Basin, April 2012—	
	ember 2013	.68
Figur	e 10 Colusa Study Area: Specific Conductance, April 2012—September 2013	.69
-	e 11 Willows Study Area: Specific Conductance, April 2012—September 2013	
•	e 12 Summary Nitrate as Nitrogen: West Sacramento River Basin, April 2012—	
•	ember 2013	.71
Figur	e 13 Colusa Study Area: Nitrate as Nitrogen, April 2012—September 2013	.72
-	e 14 Willows Study Area: Nitrate as Nitrogen, April 2012—September 2013	
•		

APPENDIX E: BIGGS STUDY AREA WATER QUALITY DATA	
APPENDIX C: WILLOWS STUDY AREA WATER QUALITY DATAAPPENDIX D: LIVE OAK STUDY AREA WATER QUALITY DATA	
APPENDIX B: COLUSA STUDY AREA WATER QUALITY DATA	127
APPENDIX A: SITE PHOTOS FOR 18-MONTH MONITORING PERIOD	99
Photo 8 Main Drainage Canal at Liberty Road (3/21/2012)	17
Photo 7 Supply Canal Spill into Main Drainage Canal (3/21/2012)	
Photo 6 East Interceptor Canal at Pease Road (4/18/12)	
Photo 5 Lateral 1 at Clark Road (4/18/12)	15
Photo 4 Dam in wildlife refuge (4/17/2012)	
Photo 3 Weir on Ag Drain C prior at Road 60 (5/9/2012)	
Photo 2 Pump station on Powell Slough (3/6/2012)	
Photo 1 Weir on Powell Slough (3/6/2012)	10
LIST OF PHOTOS	
Figure 39 Biggs Study Area: E. coli, August 2012—September 2013	94
Figure 38 Live Oak Study Area: E. coli, August 2012—September 2013	
Figure 37 Summary E. coli: East Sacramento River Basin, August 2012—September 2013.	
Figure 36 Biggs Study Area: Ammonia as Nitrogen, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 35 Summary Ammonia as Nitrogen: Biggs Study Area, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 34 Live Oak Study Area: Turbidity, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 33 Live Oak Study Area: Dissolved Arsenic, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 32 Live Oak Study Area: Total Arsenic, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 31 Summary Dissolved Arsenic: Live Oak Study Area, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 30 Summary Total Arsenic: Live Oak Study Area, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 28 Summary Nitrate as Nitrogen: Live Oak Study Area, April 2012—September 2013 Figure 29 Live Oak Study Area: Nitrate as Nitrogen, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 27 Biggs Study Area: Specific Conductance, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 26 Live Oak Study Area: Specific Conductance, April 2012—September 2013	
September 2013	
Figure 25 Summary Specific Conductance: East Sacramento River Basin, April 2012—	_
Figure 24 Willows Study Area: E. coli, August 2012—September 2013	81
Figure 23 Colusa Study Area: E. coli, August 2012—September 2013	
Figure 22 Summary E. coli: West Sacramento River Basin, August 2012—September 2013	
Figure 21 Willows' Effluent: Trihalomethanes, April 2012—September 2013	78
Figure 20 Summary Trihalomethanes: Willows' Effluent, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 19 Colusa Study Area: Turbidity, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 18 Colusa Study Area: Dissolved Arsenic, April 2013—September 2013	
Figure 17 Colusa Study Area: Total Arsenic, April 2012—September 2013	
Figure 15 Summary Total Arsenic: Colusa Study Area, April 2012—September 2013 Figure 16 Summary Dissolved Arsenic: Colusa Study Area, April 2013—September 2013	
Figure 15 Summary Total Argenic: Coluga Study Arga, April 2012 September 2013	7/

APPENDIX F: PARAMETERS AND CRITERIA (Updated on Febuary 25, 2015))4 0 6
APPENDIX G: ANALYTICAL METHODS	414

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

From April 2012 through September 2013, staff from the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Central Valley Water Board) conducted an evaluation of water bodies upstream and downstream of Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW) discharges from the cities of Colusa, Willows, Live Oak, and Biggs in the Sacramento River Basin to determine whether conditions could reasonably be expected to support the municipal and domestic supply (MUN) beneficial use. Characterization of the water bodies included an evaluation of whether the water body was a natural, modified or constructed channel (based on local water agency records) in addition to spatial and temporal water quality analyses.

To leverage resources, provide access, and insure transparency, the project was coordinated with the Central Valley Salinity Alternatives for Long-Term Sustainability (CV-SALTS) initiative, Irrigated Lands Regulatory Program (ILRP) coalitions, local POTWs, and other local, state and federal stakeholders including the water agencies that are currently managing and maintaining the water bodies in question.

Land uses within the four POTW's areas are mainly agriculture (Ag). Based on records available from the water agencies currently managing the water bodies in question, all of the water bodies were either constructed or modified to convey Ag drainage. There was no evidence of water being diverted nor permitted for MUN. Almost all of the water bodies evaluated were surrounded by rice fields.

Nineteen water bodies totaling approximately 300 miles were sampled for this study and included: Sutter Bypass, Wadsworth Canal, Colusa Basin Drain, Powell Slough, Butte Slough, Unnamed Tributary, New Ditch, Lateral Drain #2, Main Drainage Canal, Cherokee Canal, Hunter Creek, Logan Creek, Lateral K, Willow Creek, Ag Drain C, and Butte Creek. These water bodies either represented background conditions or received effluent from the cities of Colusa, Willows, Live Oak, and/or Biggs. All of the water bodies except for the Colusa Basin Drain and Sutter Bypass are currently designated with the MUN beneficial use under the statewide Sources of Drinking Water Policy (88-63).

Water quality sampling in the water bodies occurred from April 2012 through September 2013, primarily Water Year 2013. Water Year 2013 was classified a dry year based on the Sacramento Valley Water Year Type Index and followed a dry year in water year 2012 and a wet year in water year 2011.

Sampling within each POTW study area was conducted twice a month from April 2012 through March 2013 period. Sampling frequency was then reduced to once a month from April 2013 through September 2013 due to limited staff resources. Constituents identified through the POTW's NPDES permit renewal process at concentrations that may exceed the evaluation criteria for protecting drinking water supplies were analyzed. In June 2012, additional constituents specified in provisions of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations to protect human health and human health-based standards in the California Toxics Rule (CTR) were analyzed. *E. coli* analyses were conducted monthly from August 2012 to September 2013. In total, 226 different constituents were evaluated during the course of the study.

All constituents were evaluated against Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs) specified in provisions of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, the California Toxics Rule (CTR) criteria, and other numeric water quality criteria listed in Appendix F for constituents without a MCL or CTR criteria to determine whether water quality may be suitable for MUN and protection of human health.

Based on the overall characterization of the water bodies receiving effluent from the cities of Colusa, Willows, Live Oak, and Biggs:

- Source water to the area is primarily stormwater runoff and wetland drainage during the winter and diversion of Sacramento and Feather River water, ground water, and agricultural and wetland drainage during the summer;
- All diversion and water rights within the water bodies are for irrigation use;
- All of the water bodies evaluated were specifically constructed or modified to convey agricultural drainage to facilitate agricultural operations throughout the basin;
- Flow patterns are dependent on local agricultural practices, can vary greatly throughout the year and would likely be dry during extended periods without surrounding irrigation practices;
- When analyzing the water quality results collected from the four study areas against 144
 criteria to protect MUN and/or human health, most constituents were below the
 evaluation criteria and for those that were above the criteria, some elevated
 concentrations occurred in the effluent but the majority occurred upstream and/or
 downstream of where the effluent might influence water quality.
- The following constituents showed a pattern of consistently elevated levels throughout the overall study area: SC; TDS; nitrate as nitrogen; total aluminum; iron; manganese; and sodium;
 - Total aluminum, iron, and manganese were found at elevated levels at all sites upstream and downstream of the influence of the effluent;
 - The dissolved forms of these constituents did not exceed criteria;
 - SC, TDS, and nitrate as nitrogen were elevated in the effluent, but concentrations dissipated after the first downstream site;
 - Sodium exceeded criteria at all sites samples—effluent and water bodies;
- Total and dissolved arsenic were elevated in the Colusa and Live Oak study areas (the southern portion of the overall study area):
- Trihalomethanes were consistently reported at elevated levels in the City of Willow's
 effluent but not in any of the upstream or downstream sites except for two detections of
 chloroform upstream of the effluent in the northern portion of the basin;

- *E. coli* concentrations were randomly elevated above its criteria in both upstream and downstream of the influence from the cities' effluents; and
- Constituents with elevated levels not related to the effluent appear to be linked to elevated levels in local ground water (e.g. arsenic) while others such as aluminum, iron and manganese have correlate to historical background concentrations of metals in the surface waters of the Sacramento River Basin.